

Original Research

Combining Ability Analysis in Sweet Corn (Zea Mays Saccharrata L.) Using Line by Tester Design

Abdullah Khan, MSc^{1,2}; Hidayat Ur-Rahman, MSc^{1,*}; Ashfaq Ahmad, MSc¹; Muhammad Iqbal, PhD³; Sajid Kamal, MSc⁴; Sohail Khan, MSc⁵; Junyao Bu, MSc²

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan

*Corresponding authors

Hidayat Ur-Rahman, MSc

Retired Professor, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan; E-mail: drhidayat@aup.edu.pk

Article information

Received: May 18th, 2020; Revised: June 24th, 2020 Accepted: June 25th, 2020; Published: July 9th, 2020

Cite this article

Khan A, Ur-Rahman H, Ahmad A, et al. Combining ability analysis in sweet corn (Zea Mays Saccharrata L.) using line by tester design. Adv Food Technol Nutr Sci Open J. 2020; 6(2): 47-52. doi: 10.17140/AFTNSOJ-6-168

ABSTRACT

Aim

The purpose of this study was to investigate the different combination of testcrosses for morphological and yield relating traits and to investigate general combining ability of the inbred lines.

Materials and Methods

This research was conducted at The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan during 2016. Line x-tester analysis was used to test general combining ability (GCA) effects of 24 S4 lines of sweet corn. Alpha lattice design with two replications and two checks was used during the experiment. Research data were recorded on various flowering, morphological and yield parameters.

Results

Highly significant variations were recorded among the testcrosses for the studied traits except anthesis silking interval (ASI), 100-kernel weight. Minimum days to tasseling (48-days) and silking (53.5-days) was exhibited by pop-syn-swt (9-4)×synthetic sweet. GCA effect was -2.14 for tasseling and -2.00 for silking. Maximum value (3.5-days) for ASI was recorded for Pop-syn-swt 1(8-3)×synthetic sweet, while GCA effect for ASI was -0.71. Lowest plant height (129.8 cm) was recorded for pop-syn-swt 1(3-3)×synthetic sweet, while GCA effect for plant height was observed to be -14.79. Maximum cob length (16.6 cm) was revealed by pop-syn-swt 1(12-2)×synthetic sweet. For cob length GCA effect of 1.01 was recorded. Maximum 100 kernel weight (31.3 g) was estimated for pop-syn-swt 1(2-1)×synthetic sweet. GCA effect of 1.85 was recorded for 100-kernel weight. Highest mean (7143.9 kg ha-1) for grain yield was recorded for pop-syn-swt 1(9-4)×synthetic sweet. GCA effect for grain yield was found to be 1370.93.

Conclusion

Generally a low GCA value, either positive or negative indicates that the mean of a parent does not largely vary from its offsprings. In contrast, high GCA value suggests that parent is either superior or inferior to the general mean and it has high heritability and less environmental effects. Based on the findings in this research, the above mentioned testcrosses can be included in future sweet corn breeding programs where early flowering and yield attributes is desired.

Keywords

Sweet corn; General combining ability; Inbred lines; Line by tester; Yield; Flowering.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea Mays Saccharrata L.) is the prime member of family Gramineae. It is the third major source of staple food after

wheat and rice for mankind. It is a short duration crop and can be successfully grown in areas of high mountains of KPK (Khyber Pakhtunkhuwa), where snowfalls and chilling temperature limits growing period of cereals. Maize has 5 types i.e flint corn, dent

© Copyright 2020 by Ur-Rahman H. This is an open-access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which allows to copy, redistribute, remix, transform, and reproduce in any medium or format, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited.

²College of Agronomy, Guangxi University, Nanning, China

³Cereal Crops Research Institute Pirsabak, Nowshehra, Pakistan

⁴Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian, P. R. China

⁵School of Food Science and Technology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, P. R. China



corn, popcorn, flour corn and sweet corn. Sweet corn (*Zea Mays Saccharata*. *L*) is a variety of maize having high sugar content. It differs from field corn interms of its genetic makeup.² It contains high percentage of sugar during milk stage. It is grown in several areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa including Mansehra, Mingora and Swabi for local market purpose.³ Potential yield of sweet corn can be maximized through various breeding schemes. In these breeding schemes early testing of S₂ lines is considered an efficient approach for grain yield.⁴ Maize improvement can be boosted due to genetic diversity.¹ To identify better combiners, combining ability analysis is employed.

Combining ability is the capacity of an individual to transmit superior performances to its offspring. The better combiners can be hybridized to exploit heterosis and to select better crosses for future breeding work or direct use. Combining ability analysis is of special importance in cross-pollinated crops like maize as it helps in identifying potential inbred parents that can be used for producing hybrids and synthetics.² Expected value of any particular cross is the sum of general combining ability (GCA) of its two parental lines. 5 GCA is the ability of a line to produce superior hybrids when crossed with a broad base tester or to a number of different inbred lines. Tester is a line used as female parent in a cross. On the basis of good GCA, when more promising lines are selected it is necessary to find out the particular combination that will produce the higher yield.³ Estimates of GCA provides a guideline of individual genotypes in selection and testing schemes. Hence diallel analysis is one of the genetic-statistical approaches that helps in selection of parents carrying promising genotypic potential of producing superior segregants.⁶ The present study was therefore initiated to 1) evaluate sweet corn testcrosses for yield and morphological traits and 2) estimate general combining ability of inbred lines of sweet corn. Combining ability is the capacity of an individual to transmit superior performances to its offspring. The better combiners can be hybridized to exploit heterosis and to select better crosses for future breeding work or direct use. Combining ability analysis is of special importance in cross-pollinated crops like maize as it helps in identifying potential inbred parents that can be used for producing hybrids and synthetics.² Expected value of any particular cross is the sum of GCA of its two parental lines.⁵ GCA is the ability of a line to produce superior hybrids when crossed with a broad base tester or to a number of different inbred lines. Tester is a line used as female parent in a cross. On the basis of good GCA, when more promising lines are selected it is necessary to find out the particular combination that will produce the higher yield.3 Estimates of GCA provides a guideline of individual genotypes in selection and testing schemes. Hence diallel analysis is one of the genetic-statistical approaches that helps in selection of parents carrying promising genotypic potential of producing superior segregants.6 The present study was therefore initiated to 1) evaluate sweet corn testcrosses for yield and morphological traits and 2) estimate general combining ability of inbred lines of sweet corn..

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted at The University of Agriculture Pe-

shawar, Pakistan during 2016. Forty-eight testcrosses, derived in spring 2016 by crossing 24 inbred lines with two testers at Cereal Crops Research Institute Pirsabak, Nowshehra, were evaluated in alpha lattice design with two replications along with two checks. Each testcross was sown in a 2 row plot, having 4 meter row length. The row spacing was kept 0.75 m while plant to plant spacing was 0.25 meter. Two to three seeds per hill was sown which were later thinned. Data was recorded on plot basis for flowering characters and grain yield related traits, while on randomly selected plants for plant height and ear height. Recorded data was analyzed through WASP (web of Agri AGRISTAT package) software, developed by Ashok Kumar Jangam and Pranjali Ninad Wadekar. Analysis of general combining ability effect was calculated using method developed kempthrone et al (Table 1).7

SOV	Df	MS	F-value
Replications	(r-1)	RMS	RMS/EMS
Crosses	(c-1)	CMS	CMS/EMS
Lines	(I-1)	LMS	LMS/EMS
Tester	(t-1)	TMS	TMS/EMS
Line×tester	(I-I)(t-I)	LTMS	LTMS/EMS
Error	(r-1)(c-1)	EMS	
Total	ltr- l		

SOV=source of variation, D]-degree of freedom, MS=means Square, RMS=replication mean square, CMS=crosses mean square, LMS=lines mean square, TMS=tester mean square, LTMS=line×tester mean square, EMS=error mean square

Estimation of General Combining Ability Effect

$$gi = \frac{(Xi..)}{tr} - \frac{(X...)}{ltr}$$

where t=tester, r=replication and l=lines

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variance

Analysis of variance disclosed highly significant variation (p<0.01) among the testcrosses for days to tasseling, plant height and cob length, and significant variation (p<0.05) for grain yield, while non-significant variation for dasy to silking among the testcrosses (Table 2). Kamara et al⁸ have noticed similar significant results for tasseling, plant height and grain yield. Variation due to lines effect was highly significant for tasseling, silking and plant height, significant for cob length and non-significant for grain yield (Table 2). Variation due to tester effect was non-significant for flowering and yield traits, while highly significant for plant height. Similarly variation due to line and tester interaction was non significant for silking and tasseling, and highly significant for cob length and plant height, while significant for grain yield (Table 2). The pres-



Table 2. Mean Squares for Days to Silking, Tasseling, Cob Length, Plant Height and Grain Yield of 48 Testcrosses Derived from S_4 Lines of Sweet Corn

Source	DTS	DTT	PH	CL	GY
Replication	2.04	7.59	0.68	18.38	399680.63
Crosses	3.23NS	6.04**	257.97**	1.72**	1729930.86*
Lines	5.13*	8.08**	303.97**	1.52*	1614682.90 NS
Tester	2.04NS	1.76NS	17.94**	0.06NS	231624.40 NS
Line × tester	1.43NS	3.47NS	222.41**	2.00**	1910322.58*
Error	2.22	1.99	0.68	0.63	828031.48
CV%	2.66	2.74	0.54	5.65	19.65

^{*,**=}significant at 5 and 1% probability level, respectively. NS=Non-significant DTS=Days to silking; DTT=Days to tasseling; PH=Plant height; CL=Cob length; GY=Grain yield

Table 3. Means, GCA Effects of Days to Silking and Tasseling of 48 Testcrosses of Sweet Corn

_	Silkin	g (days)		Tasseli	ng (days)	_
. S ₄	Tester		GCA	Tester		GCA
Lines	CCRI Sweet	Synthetic Sweet		CCRI Sweet	Synthetic Sweet	
I(4-I)	53.5	55	-1.50	49	48.5	-1.66
I (9-3)	55	56	0.50	49	51.5	0.09
1(9-1)	56.5	58.5	-0.50	50.5	54	-1.16
1(8-1)	56	57	1.00	51	51.5	0.09
I (8-4)	55.5	55.5	-0.75	50	50.5	-1.41
I (8-5)	55	54	-0.50	49	48.5	-1.16
I(I2-4)	57	55.5	-0.50	50.5	51	-1.41
I (2-4)	56.5	55	-2.00	51	49.5	-2.16
I (6-3)	54.5	59	0.25	49	53.5	0.84
I (3-2)	55.5	56	1.00	49.5	50.5	2.34
1(3-1)	55	57	-0.25	49.5	51.5	0.09
1(12-2)	55.5	58	0.00	49.5	52.5	0.09
1(2-1)	55	55	-0.25	49	50	-0.66
I (7-3)	55.5	56.5	2.00	49.5	55	2.09
I (9-2)	53.5	58	-1.00	48	55	-1.16
I (4-2)	54	57.5	-0.50	49	53	-0.41
I (8-3)	56	54.5	1.75	51.5	49	1.34
I (9-4)	56	53	1.75	51.5	47.5	1.34
I (7-4)	57	55	0.00	53	50	1.84
I (3-5)	56.5	56	2.00	53	51	3.34
I(I0-2)	56	56	-2.00	51	51	-2.41
I (3-3)	55	55	-0.25	50.5	50.5	-0.16
1(7-1)	57	56	-0.25	52.5	51.5	0.09
4(3-3)	54.5	55.5	0.00	49	50	0.09
Grand mean	55.47	56.02		50.20	51.10	

Grand mean of checks=55 Grand mean of checks=49.4

^{*}The S_4 lines pedigree number which were obtained from (cereal crops research institute (CCRI), Pirsabak) Pakistan



ent findings of this research are in direction with earlier research reports of Chen et al,⁴ Srivastava,⁵ Jaykumar et al,⁶ Premlatha et al,⁷ Al Nagger et al,⁸ El-Hosary et al,⁹ and Ali et al.¹⁰

Days to Silking (days)

Means for days to silking ranged from 53 to 59-days having an average of 55.47 with T1 (Central Citrus Research Institute (CCRI) sweet) and 56.02 with T2 (Synthetic sweet) (Table 3). Using synthetic sweet as tester minimum value (53-days) was observed for line 1(9-4) and maximum (59-days) by line 1(6-3). Overall mean of testcrosses was 55.75 and 55-days for checks (Table 3). Mean values ranged from -2.00 to 2.00. highest positive GCA was obtained for line 1(3-5) and lowest for line 1(10-2). Thirteen testcrosses showed negative GCA effect. It is evident from the findings that 37.5% testcrosses took minimum days to silking and 62.5% took more days to silking as compared to checks (Table 3). Negative GCA

effect was recorded for 54.1% of testcrosses (Table 3). Nigussie et al, 9 reported similar negative GCA effects for days to silking.

Days to Tasseling

Testcross 1(9-4) took minimum (47.5) days to tasseling, with synthetic sweet as tester and maximum (55-days) by line 1(7-3) and 1(9-2), using synthetic sweet as tester. The average mean for all testcrosses was 50.66-days. GCA values ranged between -2.41 to 3.34 (Table 3). Maximum GCA effect was recorded for line 1(3-5), followed by 1(3-2), and minimum for line 1(10-2) followed by 1(3-4). About 35.5% testcrosses took minimum time to tasseling and 64.5% took more time as compared to check means. 48.5% testcrosses recorded negative GCA effect and rest with positive GCA values (Table 3). Our result for days to tasseling got support from Shah et al.¹⁰

_	Plant Height (cm)		_	Cob Length (cm)		GCA
S₄ Lines	Tester		GCA	Tester		
	CCRI Sweet	Synthetic Sweet	_	CCRI Sweet	Synthetic Sweet	-
l(4 -l)	168.5	135	3.36	13.8	15.8	-0.33
I (9-3)	147.6	167.7	11.51	15.1	14.1	0.92
l(9-l)	159.4	153	17.03	15.9	14.1	0.48
1(8-1)	173	155.5	12.76	15.5	15.4	0.13
I (8-4)	173.55	144.6	-1.89	15.9	13.9	-0.14
I (8-5)	169.9	152.1	-8.44	14.6	15.7	0.06
I(I2- 4)	178	144	0.56	13.6	16.6	-1.37
I (2-4)	156.6	136.6	-2.59	16.3	14.9	1.01
I (6-3)	159.7	157.7	5.61	14.6	16.1	-0.59
I (3-2)	145.9	155.6	1.91	14.7	15.2	-0.32
I(3-I)	148.1	145.9	-4.49	13.9	14.6	-0.49
I(I2-2)	144.4	139.3	2.61	15.8	14.8	-1.02
1(2-1)	156	143.5	-3.34	13.6	16.2	0.18
I (7-3)	154.5	148.5	-0.44	13.3	14.4	-0.09
I (9-2)	146.6	160.3	-6.34	15.7	13.7	0.01
I (4-2)	157.6	145.5	-14.39	15.9	14.8	0.98
I (8-3)	144.6	167.2	1.96	14.8	14.5	0.88
I (9-4)	176	176.1	-12.06	13.6	14.8	-0.12
I (7-4)	162	147.9	-8.69	15.3	14.9	0.51
I (3-5)	151.2	165.8	-1.79	13.6	15.1	-0.57
I (IO-2)	149.6	150	16.96	15.1	12.9	-0.12
I (3-3)	150.8	129.8	2.16	13.6	15.5	0.18
1(7-1)	163.6	164.3	-14.79	13.4	15.7	-0.59
4(3-3)	151	151	2.96	14.2	14.1	0.38
Grand mean	157.85	151.53		14.67	14.95	
Mean of	checks=148.3			Mean of checks=	13.10	



Plant Height

Mean values regarding plant height ranged between 129.8 and 178 cm. Maximum plant height was recorded by line 1(12-4), when CCRI sweet was used as tester followed by 1(9-4) when synthetic sweet was used as tester. Minimum plant height was observed for line 1(3-3), with synthetic sweet as tester (Table 4). GCA effect ranged from -14.69 to 17.03. Maximum positive GCA effect was observed for testcross 1(9-1), succeeded by testcross 1(10-2). Negative and maximum GCA effect was in case of testcross 1(7-1), followed by 1(4-2) (Table 4). Proportional contribution of lines were relatively higher (Table 5). Plant height has direct effect on yield. higher plants are more susceptible to lodging and decreasing yield. Hence low plant height is ultimate goal of a breeder. In this experiment 27.98% plants had lowest plant height as compared to mean of checks (148 cm). Half of the population recorded negative GCA effects for plant height (Table 4). Early researcher Gul et al¹¹ and Carena¹² also estimated significant results for agronomic trait like plant height.

Table 5. Proportional Contribution Lines, Tester and Line×Tester Interactions for Various Traits of Sweet Ccorn to the Total Variance					
% Contribution	Silking	Tasseling	Plant Height	Cob Length	Grain Yield
Lines	77.12	71.23	57.66	43.22	45.68
Tester	1.33	0.62	0.15	0.08	0.28
Linextester	21.54	28.15	42.19	56.70	54.04

Cob Length

Large seed set can be obtained only if cob length is high. Mean value regarding cob length were between 12.9 to 16.6 cm. Line 1(12-4)×synthetic sweet was found to be with high cob length mean, while lowest mean was recorded for testcross 1(10-2)×synthetic sweet. Mean of checks was lower than mean of testers (Table 4). General combining ability effect values lied in range of -1.37 and 1.01. Desirable and high GCA effect value was observed for testcross 1(3-4), while testcross 1(12-4) recorded negative GCA effect with minimum value (Table 4). In the present study about 97% population had higher cob length mean than compared to checks mean. It was evident from the results that 50% of testcrosses had positive GCA effects (Table 4). Contribution of lines was lower than line and tester interaction (Table 5).

Grain Yield

Mean values for GCA ranged between 2022.04 and 7143.18 kg ha-1 (Table 6). Highest mean 7143.18 was recorded for testcross 1(9-4), using synthetic sweet as tester, and 6647.72 for testcross 1(8-1), using CCRI sweet as tester, while lowest mean 2022.04 was shown by testcross 1(7-4), using synthetic sweet as tester, and 3715.90 by testcross 1(9-3), using CCRI sweet as tester. Mean of checks was found lower (4086.39 kg ha-1) (Table 6). GCA effect was in range of -1133.35 to 1370.93. Maximum GCA effect was shown by testcross 1(10-2) and minimum GCA effect was recorded for testcross 1(7-4). Twelve testcrosses showed positive GCA

effects (Table 6). About 33.3% testcrosses had highest mean for grain yield and 66.6% had lowest mean when compared to mean of check. Among testcrosses, 45.8% had positive GCA effects and 54.8% had GCA effects in negative direction. Similar significant results were also disclosed by Rahman et al¹³ for grain yield in maize breeding program. Similar results for grain yield due to GCA and SCA were reported by Menkir et al.¹¹

	Grain yi		
S₄ lines	7	GCA	
	CCRI Sweet		
I(4-I)	4911.21	4800.45	-457.05
I (9-3)	3715.91	4733.94	1281.93
1(9-1)	5657.27	3792.42	-754.02
1(8-1)	6447.73	5916.97	194.80
I (8-4)	3942.27	3980.83	-4.25
I (8-5)	4090.91	4377.20	473.78
I(I2- 4)	5303.03	5385.91	-54.55
I (2-4)	4627.73	3837.88	268.73
I (6-3)	4751.52	4864.85	-324.15
I (3-2)	4781.21	5203.64	162.83
1(3-1)	5178.79	5296.67	183.78
1(12-2)	5310	2180	818.05
1(2-1)	5189.70	3815.91	-3.37
I (7-3)	4242.42	3458.79	84.08
I (9-2)	4615.15	5286.67	-591.57
I (4-2)	5463.48	4239.92	-158.67
I (8-3)	4614.92	5139.85	263.63
I (9-4)	4277.95	7143.18	-1032.27
I (7-4)	5542.42	2022.05	-1133.25
I (3-5)	4324.39	5759.39	-7.32
I(I0-2)	5032.73	4267.58	1370.93
I (3-3)	4876.06	4951.97	-879.87
1(7-1)	5302.27	4620.98	-160.85
4(3-3)	5875	5837.50	458.65
Grand mean	4919.75	4621.43	

CONCLUSION |-

The findings of this research suggested extent of variability among the testcrosses for different traits studied, which could be further evaluated in certain future breeding schemes involving sweet corn. Testcross 1 (9-4), 1(12-4) and 1(3-3) is recommended for grain yield, cob length and plant height respectively. However, in terms of GCA effects testcross 1 (10-2) can be fruitful in future



breeding programs as it had showed negative general combining ability estimates for days to silking and days to tasseling which is desirable for flowering traits, and for plant height and grain yield the said testcross exhibit positive maximum GCA effects.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES |-

- 1. Saeed MT, Saleem M. Estimates of gene effects for some important qualitative plant traits in maize diallel crosses. *Pak J Biol Sci.* 2000; 3: 1989-1990. doi: 10.3923/pjbs.2000.1138.1140
- 2. Marshall SW. Sweet corn. In: Stanley AW, Bamstad PE, eds. *Corn-Chemistry and Technology*. Minnesota, USA: Amer. Assoc. Cereal Chemists, Inc; 1987: 431-445.
- 3. Khan Z, Khalil S, Farhatullah M, Khan MI, Basir A. Selecting optimum planting date for sweet corn in Peshawar. *Sarhad J Agric*. 2011; 27: 341-347.
- 4. Ali Q, Ali A, Ahsan M, Nasir IA, Abbas HG, Ashraf MA. Line×Tester analysis for morpho-physiological traits of Zea mays L seedlings. *Advancements in Life Sciences*. 2014; 1: 242-253.
- 5. Allard R. *Principles of Plant Breeding*. Wiley, New York, USA: Principles of plant breeding: 1960.
- 6. Okello D, Manna R, Imanyowoha J, Pixley K, Edema R. Agronomic performance and breeding potential of selected inbred

lines for improvement of protein quality of adapted Ugandan maize germplasm. *African Crop Science Journal*. 2006; 14: 37-47.

- 7. Kempthorne O. *An Introduction to Genetic Statistics*. New York, USA: John Wiley And Sons, Inc.; 1957.
- 8. Kamara MM, El-Degwy IS, Koyama H. Estimation combining ability of some maize inbred lines using line x-tester mating design under two nitrogen levels. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 2014; 8: 1336.
- 9. Nigussie M, Zelleke H. Heterosis and combining ability in a diallel among eight elite maize populations. *African Crop Science Journal* 2001; 9: 471-479.
- 10. Shah SS, Khalil I, Rafi A. Reaction of two maize synthetics to maydis leaf blight following recurrent selection for grain yield. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2006; 22: 263.
- 11. Zaffar G, Shikari A, Rather M, Guleria S. Comparison of selection indices for screening maize (Zea mays L.) germplasm for cold tolerance. *Cereal Research Communications*. 2005; 33: 525-531. doi: 10.1556/CRC.33.2005.2-3.115
- 12. Barata C, Carena M. Classification of North Dakota maize inbred lines into heterotic groups based on molecular and test-cross data. *Euphytica*. 2006; 151: 339-349. doi: 10.1007/s10681-006-9155-y
- 13. Rahman H, Arifuddin Z, Shah S, Iqbal M, Khalil I. Evaluation of maize S2 lines in testcross combinations I: flowering and morphological traits. *Pak J Bot.* 2010; 42: 1619-1627.